



INFN Experience with Layer-2 Services across GÉANT and the DataTAG Testbed

March 15, 2004

Tiziana Ferrari INFN - CNAF

ataTAG is a project funded by the European Commission





Talk Outline



- L2 VPNs and the Grid:
 - use cases and advantages
- MPLS L2 VPNs and additional features
- MPLS L2 VPNs and DataTAG
 - The Path resources
 - Advance Reservation architecture
 - Features and implementation
- Conclusions, requirements and future work





L2 Virtual Private Networks and the Grid

- L2 VPN: connectivity between geographically dispersed customer sites across MAN or WAN networks as if they were connected using a LAN
- Grid use cases:
 - 1. MPLS-based VPNs: a firewall bypass
 - 2. Overlay network set-up: simplicity and flexibility
 - new Grid job scheduling and data replica management models





Grid job scheduling and data replica management with L2 VPNs

- Today: Computing Elements (CEs) are selected from the site where one ore more SEs hold a copy of the input file which is accessed by the job to be scheduled
- L2 VPN: by configuring VPNs which include compute and storage resources from several different data tier levels, CEs can be considered "virtually" local to SEs which are remote from a network point of view



Grid job scheduling and data replica management with L2 VPNs Information Societ (cont)

Advantages:

- Jobs can execute on a CE even when a file replica is not locally available -> Richer set of candidate CEs that can run the job
- Traffic load at potential Grid bottlenecks can be reduced
- Different data replica management policies are possible depending on the Grid application in mind:
 - Total/partial data set replication vs No replication





MPLS-based L2 VPNs

- Ethernet/VLAN traffic is carried by MPLS over the service provide network (PE and P routers) and then converted back to L2 format at the rx site
- Security and privacy: policies i the CE routers keep rotes that belong to different VPNs separated
- CE: it selects the output circuit to which specific L2 traffic has to be sent according to:
 - The VLAN ID present in the 802.1Q frame header (VLAN L2 VPN)
 - The input interface form which the frame wa eceived (Ethernet L2 VPN)
- On-demand set-up: CEs can be forced to belong to different L2 VLANs according to the Virtual Organization (VO) they are allocated to at a given time







Why MPLS?

- A given host can belong to one or more VPNs at a time if native VLAN tagging is enabled
- The LSP primary/secondary path can apply nonstandard routing policies
- A given diffserv packet forwarding treatment can be assigned to the LSPs associated to a given VPN (MPLS EXP field set by the LSP head-end router):
 - Grid ftp between SEs: if based on enhanced TCP stacks, it ca be handled through the Scavenger/Less Than Best Effort service (fairness)
 - CEs/SEs used for remote visualization with real-time requirements could apply to the IP Premium service
 - Performance guarantees to individual VOs





L2 VPNs and DataTAG



VLAN1, IP Premium

VLAN2 LBE/Scavenger





MPLS-based VPN advance reservation: the Path

- A possible abstraction of the Network Resource
- GGF Grid High-Performance Networking RG
- Dynamic vs static (-> Grid Information Service)
- PATH = concatenation of Path Elements
- Path Element:
 - Across a single domain or a chain of contiguous domains with same control plane
- Types: optical, MPLS, Diffserv Virtual Leased Line, ...
- Static path attributes:
 - requested for resource matchmaking
 - Info about capabilities supported (eg. MPLS signalling)
 - Authentication/authorization: eg. AAA, Globus Gatekeeper, etc
- Path performance measured by the Grid network monitoring service (GHPN)



- . Reservation identification

. GARA APIs, Gatekeeper, Resource manager, LRAM, Resource specific manager







MPLS LSP:

- unidirectional
- based on a Diffserv path statically provisioned (IP Premium)
- Connects the two CE routers of the two leaf domains
- Shared by authorized users/applications generating traffic from the source domain
- diffserv paths that support MPLS capabilities (across MPLS-capable transit domains) are indicated by the information system





MPLS-based L2 VPN

management: implementation

- Two given CE routers of two different leaf domains are connected by a single diffserv path of a given type (IP Premium, Ibe etc)
- Each mpls/diffserv path is statically associated to a given pre-defined VLAN number
- VLAN tagging pre-configured statically on endsystems
- Router configuration:
 - Diffserv: marking and policing (IP Premium only) at the ingress router
 - MPLS L2 VPN: VLAN tagging and encapsulation, LSPs with QoS and CCC Connections (Juniper) on the LSP head-end router
- Topology and routing: very difficult to mange dynamically!





Router configuration

MPLS L2 VPN Manager:

- Perl application using Junoscript libraries (prototype for Juniper routers)
 - Configuration script parsing
 - possible operating system/configuration scripts mismatches
 - configuration errors (rollback)
 - Configuration add/modify/delete
 - Configuration locking





Conclusions & requirements

Results:

- Optimal TCP performance on MPLS L2 VPNs between StarLight and CERN – 1 Gbps
- MPLS EXP field marking and classification: ok (Juniper)
- Diffserv scheduling: ok
- Requirements:
 - On-demand set-up of e2e MPLS LSPs (no stitching)
 - Handling of MPLS EXP field for QoS





Future work

- Applicability of L1/L3 VPNs to Grids
- VPLS (Virtual Private LAN Services) for multipoint vs p2p ethernet services (MPLS packets from CE routers are broadcast to PEs, i.e. the ISP network is traversed in a p2mp fashion
- Enhancement of the advance reservation system
 - Multiple vendors
 - Interdomain scenario
 - Co-allocation, storage adv res
 - Software rewriting (OGSA compliance)
- Formal definition of Grid VPN Service
 - Type of Grid Connectivity service
 - GHPN